

UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

09/555,709 07/19/00 FERENCZ A H2933/3578UA

EXAMINER

IM52/0828

ROCCO S. BARRESE, ESQ.
DIL WORTH & BARRESE, LLP

ARTUNIT PAPER NUMBER

ROCCO S. BARRESE, ESQ. DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP 333 EARLE OVINGTON BOULEVARD UNIONDALE NY 11553

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1712

DATE MAILED:

08/28/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/555709	
	Examiner	Group Art Unit
	1 show	1712
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	s on the cover sheet b	peneath the correspondence address—
Peri d for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, e Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut 	bly within the statutory minin expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro	num of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	cust 29.2	<i>₽\</i>
☐ This action is FINAL.	5	
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except f accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 		
Disposition of Claims		
\checkmark Claim(s) 1, 19 - 37		is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration
☐ Claim(s)		
$4 \text{ Claim(s)} \qquad \qquad 1 9 - 21 \qquad 23 - 3$	7	is/are rejected
Claim(s) $\frac{1}{2^2}$ $\frac{23-3}{2^2}$		Is/are rejected.
,		
☐ Claim(s)		are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers		•
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	•	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		☐ disapproved.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	ed to by the Examiner.	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Pri_rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)		
☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the received.		
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number received in this national stage application from the Inter	-	Rule 1 7.2(a)).
<i>1</i> N		•
*Certified copies not received:		
*Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)	_	
Attachment(s)	o(s)	Interview Summary, PTO-413
Attachment(s) Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No.		·
Attachment(s)		Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 Other

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Art Unit: 1712

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 19-21 and 23-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP '177. The reference teaches a biodegradable adhesive comprising a high molecular weight polyester that can be amorphous, a non-crystalline sucrose benzoate and optionally a plasticizer that can be a low molecular weight liquid polyester. See page 3, lines 4-12 and lines 17-20. The low molecular weight polyester of the claims does not distinguish over the non-crystalline sucrose benzoate nor liquid polyester plasticizer taught by the reference. The adhesives can be used to bond flexible film to non-woven polyolefin. See page 4, lines 6-10. From the teachings of the reference, it would have been obvious to blend a amorphous high molecular weight polyester having an enthalpy of fusion of at most 20mJ/mg with a low molecular weight sucrose benzoate and low molecular weight liquid polyester having a Tg of at most 60° C in order to obtain a biodegradable adhesive having good adhesion and flexibility for use in bonding flexible film to nonwoven polyolefin. Optimizing ranges of viscosity and softening temperature for application of the adhesive would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Claim 22 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/555,709

Art Unit: 1712

P. Short

August 20, 2001

Phone (703) 308-2395

Fax (703) 872-9310

PATRICIA A. SHORT PRIMARY EXAMINER

Patre a Shat